## Overall Results

(Pre-tenure and tenured faculty, except where noted)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>NCSU</th>
<th>Peers (^1)</th>
<th>Diff (^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nature of work: research</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature of work: service</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature of work: teaching</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities and work resources</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal and family policies</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and retirement benefits</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interdisciplinary work</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentoring</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenure policies (^3)</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenure clarity (^3)</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenure reasonableness (^3)</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promotion (^4)</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership: senior</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership: divisional</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership: departmental</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Departmental collegiality</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Departmental engagement</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Departmental quality</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appreciation and recognition</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Peers include Clemson University, Kansas State University, Purdue University, SUNY - Albany and the University of Tennessee.

\(^2\) Arrows indicate when mean ratings differ by 5 percent or more of the response scale (i.e., a difference of at least 0.25). Up arrows indicate that NC State's mean rating is notably higher than the grand mean of our COACHE peer group, whereas down arrows indicate that NC State's mean is lower. If no arrow is present, differences in mean ratings are not considered large enough to be meaningful.

\(^3\) Asked of pre-tenure faculty only

\(^4\) Asked of tenured faculty only
### 2011 COACHE Survey
**Peer Comparisons of Benchmark Measures** *(1=low to 5=high)*
**by Tenure Status**
*(Pre-tenure and tenured faculty, except where noted)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Pre-tenure</th>
<th>Tenured</th>
<th>Pre-tenure</th>
<th>Tenured</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nature of work: research</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>3.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature of work: service</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities and work resources</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>3.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal and family policies</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>2.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and retirement benefits</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interdisciplinary work</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>3.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentoring</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>3.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Departmental collegiality</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>3.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Peers include Clemson University, Kansas State University, Purdue University, SUNY - Albany and the University of Tennessee.

2 Arrows indicate when mean ratings differ by 5 percent or more of the response scale (i.e., a difference of at least 0.25). Up arrows indicate that NC State's mean rating is notably higher than the grand mean of our COACHE peer group, whereas down arrows indicate that NC State's mean is lower. If no arrow is present, differences in mean ratings are not considered large enough to be meaningful.

3 Asked of pre-tenure faculty only
4 Asked of tenured faculty only
### 2011 COACHE Survey
### Peer Comparisons of Benchmark Measures *(1=low to 5=high)*
### by Rank
*(Tenured faculty, except where noted)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>NCSU</th>
<th>Peers</th>
<th>Diff²</th>
<th>NCSU</th>
<th>Peers</th>
<th>Diff²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nature of work: research</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature of work: service</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature of work: teaching</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities and work resources</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal and family policies</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and retirement benefits</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interdisciplinary work</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentoring</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenure policies</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenure clarity</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenure reasonableness</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promotion</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership: senior</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership: divisional</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership: departmental</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Departmental collegiality</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Departmental engagement</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Departmental quality</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appreciation and recognition</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Peers include Clemson University, Kansas State University, Purdue University, SUNY - Albany and the University of Tennessee.

2 Arrows indicate when mean ratings differ by 5 percent or more of the response scale (i.e., a difference of at least 0.25). Up arrows indicate that NC State's mean rating is notably higher than the grand mean of our COACHE peer group, whereas down arrows indicate that NC State's mean is lower. If no arrow is present, differences in mean ratings are not considered large enough to be meaningful.

3 Asked of pre-tenure faculty only
### 2011 COACHE Survey

**Peer Comparisons of Benchmark Measures** *(1=low to 5=high)*

by Gender

*(Pre-tenure and tenured faculty, except where noted)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Men</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NCSU</td>
<td>Peers</td>
<td>Diff²</td>
<td>NCSU</td>
<td>Peers</td>
<td>Diff²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature of work: research</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature of work: service</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature of work: teaching</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities and work</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>resources</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal and family</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>policies</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and retirement</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>benefits</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interdisciplinary work</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentoring</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenure policies³</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenure clarity³</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenure reasonableness³</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promotion⁴</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership: senior</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership: divisional</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership: departmental</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Departmental collegiality</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Departmental engagement</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Departmental quality</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appreciation and</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recognition</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Peers include Clemson University, Kansas State University, Purdue University, SUNY - Albany and the University of Tennessee.

2 Arrows indicate when mean ratings differ by 5 percent or more of the response scale (i.e., a difference of at least 0.25). Up arrows indicate that NC State's mean rating is notably higher than the grand mean of our COACHE peer group, whereas down arrows indicate that NC State's mean is lower. If no arrow is present, differences in mean ratings are not considered large enough to be meaningful.

3 Asked of pre-tenure faculty only

4 Asked of tenured faculty only

---
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2011 COACHE Survey
Peer Comparisons of Benchmark Measures (1=low to 5=high)
by Race/Ethnicity
(Pre-tenure and tenured faculty, except where noted)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Faculty of Color</th>
<th>White</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NCSU</td>
<td>Peers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature of work: research</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature of work: service</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>3.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature of work: teaching</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>3.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities and work resources</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>3.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal and family policies</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>2.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and retirement benefits</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>3.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interdisciplinary work</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>3.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentoring</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenure policies³</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>3.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenure clarity³</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>3.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenure reasonableness³</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>3.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promotion⁴</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>3.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership: senior</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>3.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership: divisional</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>3.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership: departmental</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>3.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Departmental collegiality</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>3.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Departmental engagement</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>3.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Departmental quality</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>3.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appreciation and recognition</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>3.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Peers include Clemson University, Kansas State University, Purdue University, SUNY - Albany and the University of Tennessee.

² Arrows indicate when mean ratings differ by 5 percent or more of the response scale (i.e., a difference of at least 0.25). Up arrows indicate that NC State's mean rating is notably higher than the grand mean of our COACHE peer group, whereas down arrows indicate that NC State's mean is lower. If no arrow is present, differences in mean ratings are not considered large enough to be meaningful.

³ Asked of pre-tenure faculty only

⁴ Asked of tenured faculty only